

## On the statistical type convergence and fundamentality in metric spaces

B.T. Bilalov\*, T.Y. Nazarova

---

**Abstract.** The concept of  $\mathcal{F}$ -fundamentality, generated by some filter  $\mathcal{F}$  is introduced in metric spaces. Its equivalence to the concept of  $\mathcal{F}$ -convergence is proved in metric spaces. This convergence generalizes many kinds of convergence, including the well-known statistical convergence.

**Key Words and Phrases:**  $\mathcal{F}$ -convergence,  $\mathcal{F}$ -fundamentality, statistical convergence

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classifications:** 40A05, 54A20, 54E35.

---

### 1. Introduction

The idea of statistical convergence (*stat-convergence*) was first proposed by A. Zigmund [1] in his famous monograph where he talked about "almost convergence". The first definition of it was given by H. Fast [2] and H. Steinhaus [3]. Later, this concept has been generalized in many directions. It is impossible to list all the related papers. More details on this matter and its applications can be found in [4-15, 24]. It should be noted that the methods of non-convergent sequences have long been known and they include e.g. Cesaro method, Abel method, etc. These methods are used in different areas of mathematics. For the applicability of these methods it is very important that the considered space has a linear structure. Therefore, the study of statistical convergence in metric spaces is of special scientific interest. Different aspects of this problem have been studied in [16, 17]. Statistical convergence is currently actively used in many areas of mathematics such as summation theory [7, 8, 19], number theory [11, 13], trigonometric series [1], probability theory [8], measure theory [12], optimization [20], approximation theory [21, 22], fuzzy theory [26], etc.

It should be noted that the concept of statistical fundamentality (*stat-fundamentality*) was first introduced by J.A. Fridy [4] who proved its equivalence to *stat-convergence* with respect to numerical sequences. This issue was raised in [10] concerning uniform space  $(X; U)$ . It was proved that if the sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X$  is *stat-convergent*, then it is *stat-fundamental*. The problem of the validity of converse statement was also raised in [10].

---

\*Corresponding author.

*Stat-convergence* was generalized by many mathematicians (see [10, 15, 23, 24, 25]). The concepts of *I-convergence* and *I\*-convergence* were introduced in [23]. These kinds of convergences generalizes many previously known convergences, including the well-known *stat-convergence*. In present paper we introduce the concepts of *F-convergence* and *F-fundamentality*, generated by some filter  $\mathcal{F} \subset 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ . Their equivalence is proved. *F-convergence* generalizes many kinds of convergence, related to such concepts as statistical density, logarithmic density, uniform density, etc. More details on these concepts can be found in [23].

## 2. Needful information

We will use the standard notation.  $\mathbb{N}$  will be a set of all positive integers;  $\mathbb{R}$  will be a set of real numbers;  $\chi_M(\cdot)$  is the characteristic function of  $M$ ;  $(X; \rho)$  is a metric space.  $O_\varepsilon(a)$  is an open ball centered at  $a$  with radius  $\varepsilon$ , i.e.  $O_\varepsilon(a) \equiv \{x \in X : \rho(x; a) < \varepsilon\}$ .  $2^M$  will be a set of all subsets  $M$ ;  $\bar{M}$  will stand for the closure of  $M$ ;  $|A| = \text{card } A$  is the number of elements of  $A$ .  $M^C = \mathbb{N} \setminus M$ .  $\wedge$  will be a quantifier which means “and”.

Let us recall the definition of asymptotic (statistical) density of  $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ . Assume

$$\delta_n(A) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \chi_A(k),$$

and let  $\delta_*(A) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta_n(A)$ ,  $\delta^*(A) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta_n(A)$ .  $\delta_*(A)$  and  $\delta^*(A)$  are called lower and upper asymptotic density of the set  $A$ , respectively. If  $\delta_*(A) = \delta^*(A) = \delta(A)$ , then  $\delta(A)$  is called asymptotic (or statistical) density of  $A$ . It should be noted that the statistical convergence is determined by means of this concept, namely, the consequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X$  is called statistically convergent to  $x$ , if  $\delta(A_\varepsilon) = 0$ , for  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ , where  $A_\varepsilon \equiv \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \rho(x_n; x) \geq \varepsilon\}$ .

Let us also recall the definitions of the ideal and the filter.

A family of sets  $I \subset 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  is called an ideal if:  $\alpha) \emptyset \in I$ ;  $\beta) A; B \in I \Rightarrow A \cup B \in I$ ;  $\gamma) (A \in I \wedge B \subset A) \Rightarrow B \in I$ .

A family  $\mathcal{F} \subset 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  is called a filter on  $X$ , if :

- i)  $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{F}$  ;
- ii) from  $A; B \in \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow A \cap B \in \mathcal{F}$  ;
- iii) from  $A \in \mathcal{F} \wedge (A \subset B) \Rightarrow B \in \mathcal{F}$ .

Filter, satisfying the condition

iv) if  $A_1 \supset A_2 \supset \dots \wedge A_n \in \mathcal{F}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow \exists \{n_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{N}; n_1 < n_2 < \dots :$   
 $\cup_{m=1}^{\infty} ((n_m, n_{m+1}] \cap A_m) \in \mathcal{F}$  is called a monotone closed filter.

Filter  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfying the following condition is called a right filter:

- v)  $F^C \in \mathcal{F}$ , for any finite subset  $F \subset \mathbb{N}$ .

An ideal  $I$  is called non-trivial if  $I \neq \emptyset \wedge I \neq X$ .  $I \subset 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  is a non-trivial ideal if and only if  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(I) = \{X \setminus A : A \in I\}$  is a filter on  $X$ . A non-trivial ideal  $I \subset 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  is called admissible if and only if  $I \supset \{\{x\} : x \in X\}$ .

In the sequel, we assume that  $(X; \rho)$  is a metric space with metric  $\rho$ , and  $I \subset 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  is some non-trivial ideal.

**Definition 1 [23].** The sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X$  is called  $I$ -convergent to  $x \in X$  ( $I$ - $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ ), if  $A_\varepsilon \in I$ ,  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ , where  $A_\varepsilon = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \rho(x_n; x) \geq \varepsilon\}$ .

Let  $I_d \equiv \{A \subset \mathbb{N} : d(A) = 0\}$ .  $I_d$  is an ideal on  $\mathbb{N}$ .  $I_d$ -convergence means the statistical convergence.

It should be noted that if  $I$  is an admissible ideal, then the usual convergence in  $X$  implies  $I$ -convergence in  $X$ .

**Definition 2.** The sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X$  is called  $I^*$ -convergent to  $x \in X$ , if  $\exists M \in \mathcal{F}(I)$  (i.e.  $\mathbb{N} \setminus M \in I$ ),  $M = \{m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_k < \dots\} : \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \rho(x_{m_k}; x) = 0$ .

In the following discussion we will need the following interesting results of [23].

**Theorem 1 [23].** Let  $I$  be an admissible ideal. If  $I^*$ - $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x \Rightarrow I$ - $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ .

The converse is not always true, it depends on the structure of space  $(X; \rho)$ , namely, we have

**Theorem 2 [23].** Let  $(X; \rho)$  be a metric space. (i) If  $X$  has no accumulation point, then  $I$ -convergence and  $I^*$ -convergence coincide for each admissible ideal  $I \subset 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ ; (ii) If  $X$  has an accumulation point  $\xi$ , then there exists an admissible ideal  $I \subset 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  and a sequence  $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X : I$ - $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = \xi$ , but  $I^*$ - $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n$  does not exist.

### 3. Main results

Let  $(X; \rho)$  be some complete metric space and  $\mathcal{F} \subset 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  be some filter. Accept the following

**Definition 3.** Let  $\mathcal{F} \subset 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  be some filter. The sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X$  is called  $\mathcal{F}$ -convergent to  $x \in X$  ( $\mathcal{F}$ - $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ ), if  $A_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ , where  $A_\varepsilon \equiv \{n \in \mathbb{N} : x_n \in O_\varepsilon(x)\}$ .

Let us introduce the concept of  $\mathcal{F}$ -fundamentality.

**Definition 4.** The sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X$  is called  $\mathcal{F}$ -fundamental, if  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists n_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N} : \Delta_{n_\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{F}$ , where  $\Delta_{n_\varepsilon} \equiv \{n \in \mathbb{N} : x_n \in O_\varepsilon(x_{n_\varepsilon})\}$ .

Assume that  $\exists \mathcal{F}$ - $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be an arbitrary number. Consequently,  $A_{\varepsilon/2} \in \mathcal{F}$ . From the condition i) in the definition of filter above it follows that  $A_{\varepsilon/2} \neq \emptyset$ . Take  $\forall n_\varepsilon \in A_{\varepsilon/2} : \rho(x_{n_\varepsilon}; x) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ . From the relation

$$\rho(x_n; x_{n_\varepsilon}) \leq \rho(x_n; x) + \rho(x; x_{n_\varepsilon}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \rho(x_n; x),$$

it directly follows that

$$\left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \rho(x_n; x) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right\} \subset \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \rho(x_n; x_{n_\varepsilon}) < \varepsilon\}.$$

Hence  $\Delta_{n_\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{F}$ , i.e. the sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -fundamental in  $X$ .

Now, vice versa, let  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X$  be  $\mathcal{F}$ -fundamental. From  $\mathcal{F}$ -fundamentality it follows that  $\exists n_j \in \mathbb{N} : K_j \in \mathcal{F}$ , where  $K_j \equiv \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \rho(x_n; x_{n_j}) \leq 2^{1-j}\}$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ .

By the definition of filter we obtain  $K_1 \cap K_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ . Put  $M_1 \equiv \overline{O_1(x_{n_1})} \cap \overline{O_{2^{-1}}(x_{n_2})}$ . It is obvious that  $x_n \in M_1, \forall n \in (K_1 \cap K_2) \equiv K_{(1)}$ . Thus,  $\exists n_3 \in \mathbb{N} : K_3 \in \mathcal{F}$ , where  $K_3 \equiv \{n : \rho(x_n; x_{n_3}) \leq 2^{-2}\}$ . Let  $K_{(2)} = K_{(1)} \cap K_3$ . It is clear that  $K_{(2)} \in \mathcal{F}$ . Now let  $M_2 \equiv M_1 \cap \overline{O_{2^{-2}}(x_{n_3})}$ . Denote by  $d_\rho(M)$  the diameter of the set  $M$ , i.e.

$$d_\rho(M) = \sup_{x, y \in M} \rho(x; y).$$

Continuing in the same way, we obtain the nested sequence of closed sets  $\{M_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X$ :  $M_1 \supset M_2 \supset \dots$ ; whose diameters tend to zero: i.e.  $d_\rho(M_n) \leq 2^{-n+1} \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty$ . Moreover,  $K_{(n)} \in \mathcal{F}$ , where  $K_{(n)} \equiv \{n \in \mathbb{N} : x_n \in M_n\}$ . Take  $\forall \tilde{x}_n \in M_n, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We have

$$\rho(\tilde{x}_n; \tilde{x}_{n+p}) \leq d_\rho(M_n) \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty, \forall p \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Hence, the sequence  $\{\tilde{x}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is fundamental in  $X$ . Let  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{x}_n = x$ . It is absolutely clear that  $x \in \bigcap_n M_n$ , i.e.  $\bigcap_n M_n$  is non-empty. From  $d_\rho(M_n) \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty$ , it directly follows that  $\bigcap_n M_n \equiv \{x\}$ , i.e.  $\bigcap_n M_n$  consists of one element. Let us show that  $\mathcal{F}$ - $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ . Take  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ . Take  $n_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N} : d_\rho(M_{n_\varepsilon}) < \varepsilon$ . Let  $y \in M_{n_\varepsilon}$  be an arbitrary element. So

$$\rho(y, x) \leq d_\rho(M_{n_\varepsilon}) < \varepsilon.$$

Consequently,  $M_{n_\varepsilon} \subset O_\varepsilon(x)$ . We have  $K_{(n_\varepsilon)} \in \mathcal{F}$ , where  $K_{(n_\varepsilon)} = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : x_n \in M_{n_\varepsilon}\}$ . So,  $K_{(n_\varepsilon)} \subset \{n \in \mathbb{N} : x_n \in O_\varepsilon(x)\}$ , it is clear that  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : x_n \in O_\varepsilon(x)\} \in \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ - $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ . Thus, we have proved the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $(X; \rho)$  be complete metric space and  $\mathcal{F} \subset 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  be some filter. The sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -convergent in  $X$  if and only if it is  $\mathcal{F}$ -fundamental in  $X$ .*

It is easy to see that  $\mathcal{F}$ - $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$  is unique if it exists. In fact, let  $\mathcal{F}$ - $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$  has two values  $y_1 \neq y_2$ . Take  $\forall \varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2}\rho(y_1; y_2))$ . Let  $A_k \equiv \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \rho(x_n; y_k) < \varepsilon\}, k = 1, 2$ . It is clear that  $A_k \in \mathcal{F}, k = 1, 2 \Rightarrow A_1 \cap A_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ . As  $A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset \notin \mathcal{F}$ , the obtained contradiction proves that  $y_1 = y_2$ .

Let us consider the sequence  $\{K_{(n)}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , constructed in the proof of Theorem 1. We have  $K_{(1)} \supset K_{(2)} \supset \dots \wedge K_{(n)} \in \mathcal{F}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then from the condition iv) in the definition of filter we have

$$\exists \{n_m : n_1 < n_2 < \dots\} : \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} ((n_m, n_{m+1}] \cap K_{(m)}) \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Assume

$$\mathbb{N}_0 \equiv \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : k \in (n_m, n_{m+1}] \cap K_{(m)}^c, m \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \cup [1, n_1],$$

where  $M^C \equiv \mathbb{N} \setminus M$ . Define

$$y_k = \begin{cases} x, & k \in \mathbb{N}_0; \\ x_k, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $\mathcal{F}$ - $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ . Take  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ . If  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , then  $\rho(y_k; x) = \rho(x; x) < \varepsilon$ . If  $k \notin \mathbb{N}_0$ , then  $\exists m : n_m < k \leq n_{m+1} \wedge k \notin K_{(m)}^c \Rightarrow k \in K_{(m)} \Rightarrow x_k \in M_m (M_1 \supset M_2 \supset \dots$

is a sequence from Theorem 1)  $\Rightarrow \rho(x_k; x) \leq d_\rho(M_m) < \varepsilon$  for sufficiently great values of  $m$  (as  $x \in M_m, \forall m \in \mathbb{N}$ ). Hence, we have  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} y_k = x$ . Let us show that  $\tilde{K} \equiv \{k \in \mathbb{N} : x_k = y_k\} \in \mathcal{F}$ . In fact, it is clear that

$$\cup_{m=1}^{\infty} ((n_m, n_{m+1}] \cap K_{(m)}) \subset \tilde{K},$$

holds. Then from the condition iii) in the definition of filter we get  $\tilde{K} \in \mathcal{F}$ . Thus, if  $\mathcal{F}$ - $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ , then  $\exists \tilde{K} \in \mathcal{F} : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = x$  and  $x_n = y_n, \forall n \in \tilde{K}$ .

Conversely, let  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = x$  and  $\tilde{K} \equiv \{n : x_n = y_n\} \in \mathcal{F}$ . Take  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ . Then  $\exists n_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N} : \rho(y_n; x) < \varepsilon, \forall n \geq n_\varepsilon$ . We have  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : n \geq n_\varepsilon\} \cap \tilde{K} \subset \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \rho(x_n; x) < \varepsilon\}$ . It is clear that  $(\{n \in \mathbb{N} : n \geq n_\varepsilon\} \cap \tilde{K}) \in \mathcal{F}$ . Then from the condition iii) in the definition of filter it follows  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \rho(x_n; x) < \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{F}$ . Thus, the following theorem is true.

**Theorem 4.** Let  $(X; \rho)$  be a metric space and  $\mathcal{F} \subset 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  be some filter. Then: 1) if  $\mathcal{F}$  is a monotone close and  $\mathcal{F}$ - $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ , then  $\exists \{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = x \wedge \{n \in \mathbb{N} : x_n = y_n\} \in \mathcal{F}$ ; 2) if  $\mathcal{F}$  is a right filter and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = x \wedge (\{n \in \mathbb{N} : x_n = y_n\} \in \mathcal{F})$ , then  $\mathcal{F}$ - $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ .

The Theorems 1;2 imply the following

**Corollary 1.** Let  $(X; \rho)$  be a complete metric space,  $\mathcal{F} \subset 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  be some monotone close and right filter. Then the following statements are equivalent to each other:

$\alpha) \exists \mathcal{F}$ - $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ ;  $\beta) \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -fundamental;  $\gamma) \exists \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = x \wedge (\{n \in \mathbb{N} : x_n = y_n\} \in \mathcal{F})$ .

The Theorem 2 immediately implies the following

**Corollary 2.** Let  $(X; \rho)$  be a metric space and  $\mathcal{F} \subset 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  be a right filter. If  $\exists \mathcal{F}$ - $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ , then  $\exists \{n_k : n_1 < n_2 < \dots\} \in \mathcal{F} : \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_k} = x$ .

## 4. Filters

**I. An ordinary convergence.**  $\mathcal{F} \equiv \{M \subset \mathbb{N} : M^c \equiv \mathbb{N} \setminus M \text{ is a finite set}\}$ .  $\mathcal{F}$ -convergence, generated by this filter, coincides with the ordinary convergence.

**II. Statistical convergence.** Assume  $\mathcal{F}_\delta \equiv \{M \subset \mathbb{N} : \delta(M) = 1\}$ .  $\mathcal{F}_\delta$  is a filter. It is easy to see that  $\mathcal{F}_\delta$  is a right filter. Let us show that  $\mathcal{F}_\delta$  is a monotone close filter. Let  $K_1 \supset K_2 \supset \dots \wedge (\delta(K_n) = 1, \forall n \in \mathbb{N})$ . It is clear that  $\delta(K_n^c) = 0, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore  $\exists \{n_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{N}; n_1 < n_2 < \dots :$

$$\frac{1}{n} |I_n \cap K_m^c| < \frac{1}{m}, \forall n \geq n_m.$$

Let  $\tilde{N}_0 = \tilde{N}_0 \cup I_n$ , where  $\tilde{N}_0 \equiv \{k \in \mathbb{N} : n_m < k \leq n_{m+1} \wedge (k \in K_m^c)\}$ . It is obvious that  $\delta(\tilde{N}_0) = \delta(\tilde{N}_0)$ . Take  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\exists m \in \mathbb{N} : n_m < n \leq n_{m+1}$ . Without loss of generality, we may suppose that  $n > n_1$ . Let us show that

$$(I_n \cap \tilde{N}_0) \subset (I_n \cap K_m^c). \quad (1)$$

Let  $k \in (I_n \cap \tilde{\mathbb{N}}_0) \Rightarrow \exists m_0 \leq m : n_{m_0} < k \leq n_{m_0+1} \wedge (k \in K_{m_0}^c) \Rightarrow k \in K_m^c$ . So, the inclusion (1) is true. Consequently

$$\frac{1}{n} |I_n \cap \tilde{\mathbb{N}}_0| \leq \frac{1}{n} |I_n \cap K_m^c| < \frac{1}{m}. \quad (2)$$

From (2) it directly follows that  $\delta(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}_0) = 0$ . As a result,  $\delta(\mathbb{N}_0) = 0 \Rightarrow \delta(\mathbb{N}_0^c) = 1 \Rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0^c \in \mathcal{F}_\delta$ . In the sequel, it should be pointed out that  $\mathbb{N}_0^c \equiv \{k \in \mathbb{N} : n_m < k \leq n_{m+1} \wedge (k \in K_m^c)\}$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{F}_\delta$  is a monotone close filter. Fulfilment of condition v) by  $\mathcal{F}_\delta$  is obvious. Then, the statement of Corollary 1 is true with respect to  $\mathcal{F}_\delta$ -convergence. So, we get the validity of

**Statement 1.** *Filter  $\mathcal{F}_\delta$ , generated by statistical density, is a monotone close and right filter.*

**III. Logarithmic convergence.** Let  $M \subset \mathbb{N}$ . Assume

$$l_n(M) = \frac{1}{s_n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\chi_M(k)}{k},$$

where  $s_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k}$ . If  $\exists \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} l_n(M) = l(M)$ , then  $l(M)$  is called a logarithmic density of the set  $M$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}_l \equiv \{M \subset \mathbb{N} : l(M) = 1\}$ . The following lemma is true.

**Lemma 1.** *If  $l(M_k) = 1, k = 1, 2 \Rightarrow l(M_1 \cap M_2) = 1$ .*

**Proof.** We have

$$M_1 \cap M_2 = (M_1 \cup M_2) \setminus [(M_2 \setminus M_1) \cup (M_1 \setminus M_2)].$$

Consequently

$$M_1 \cap M_2 \cap I_n = [(M_1 \cup M_2) \cap I_n] \setminus [(M_2 \setminus M_1) \cup (M_1 \setminus M_2)] \cap I_n. \quad (3)$$

From

$$((M_2 \setminus M_1) \cap I_n) \subset (M_1^c \cap I_n),$$

we get

$$\frac{1}{s_n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \chi_{M_2 \setminus M_1}(k) \leq \frac{1}{s_n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \chi_{M_1^c}(k). \quad (4)$$

It is absolutely clear that, if  $l(M) = 1$ , then  $l(M^c) = 0$ . Then from (4) we obtain  $l(M_2 \setminus M_1) = 0$ . Similarly, we have  $l(M_1 \setminus M_2) = 0$ . So

$$((M_2 \setminus M_1) \cup (M_1 \setminus M_2)) \cap I_n = ((M_2 \setminus M_1) \cap I_n) \cup ((M_1 \setminus M_2) \cap I_n).$$

It is clear that

$$l((M_2 \setminus M_1) \cup (M_1 \setminus M_2)) = 0. \quad (5)$$

It is easy to see that  $l(M_1 \cup M_2) = 1$ . From (3) we have

$$\frac{1}{s_n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \chi_{M_1 \cap M_2}(k) = \frac{1}{s_n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \chi_{M_1 \cup M_2}(k) - \frac{1}{s_n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \chi_{(M_2 \setminus M_1) \cup (M_1 \setminus M_2)}(k).$$

Taking into account (5) we get  $l(M_1 \cap M_2) = 1$ . Lemma is proved.

This lemma implies that  $\mathcal{F}_l$  is a filter. If  $M \subset \mathbb{N}$  is a finite set, then it is clear that  $M^c \in \mathcal{F}_l$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{F}_l$  satisfies the condition v). Then it is absolutely clear that  $l(M) = 0$ . Let us show that  $\mathcal{F}_l$  is a monotone close filter. Let  $K_1 \supset K_2 \supset \dots \wedge (l(K_n) = 1, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}) \Rightarrow l(K_n^c) = 0, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore

$$\exists \{n_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{N}, n_1 < n_2 < \dots : \frac{1}{s_n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\chi_{K_m^c}(k)}{k} < \frac{1}{m}, \forall n \geq n_m.$$

Similarly to the previous example, let  $\mathbb{N}_0 = \tilde{\mathbb{N}}_0 \cup I_n$ , where

$$\tilde{\mathbb{N}}_0 \equiv \{k \in \mathbb{N} : n_m \leq k \leq n_{m+1} \wedge (k \in K_m^c)\}.$$

It is clear that  $l(\mathbb{N}_0) = l(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}_0)$ . Let  $n \in \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow \exists m \in \mathbb{N} : n_m < n \leq n_{m+1}$ . As before, we assume that  $n > n_1$ . It is clear that (1) is true, i.e. .

$$(I_n \cap \tilde{\mathbb{N}}_0) \subset (I_n \cap K_m^c).$$

Hence

$$\frac{1}{s_n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\chi_{\tilde{\mathbb{N}}_0}(k)}{k} \leq \frac{1}{s_n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\chi_{K_m^c}(k)}{k} < \frac{1}{m}, \forall n \geq n_m.$$

Consequently,  $l(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}_0) = 0 \Rightarrow l(\mathbb{N}_0) = 0 \Rightarrow l(\mathbb{N}_0^c) = 1 \Rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0^c \in \mathcal{F}_l$ . It is obvious that

$$\mathbb{N}_0^c \equiv \{k \in \mathbb{N} : n_m < k \leq n_{m+1} \wedge (k \in K_m)\}.$$

It directly follows that  $\mathcal{F}_l$  is a right filter. Thus, we have proved

**Statement 2.** *Filter  $\mathcal{F}_l$ , generated by logarithmic density, is a monotone close and right filter.*

Note that, if  $\exists \delta(M) \Rightarrow \exists l(M) \wedge l(M) = \delta(M)$ . The converse is not generally true.

**IV. Uniform convergence.** Let  $M \subset \mathbb{N} \wedge (t \in \mathbb{Z}_+; s \in \mathbb{N})$ . Assume

$$M(t+1; t+s) = |n \in M : t+1 \leq n \leq t+s|.$$

Put

$$\beta_s(M) = \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} M(t+1; t+s),$$

$$\beta^s(M) = \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} M(t+1; t+s).$$

If  $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\beta_s(M)}{s} = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\beta^s(M)}{s} = \beta(M)$ , then the quantity  $\beta(M)$  is called the uniform density of the set  $M$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}_\beta \equiv \{M \subset \mathbb{N} : \beta(M) = 1\}$ . Let us show that  $\mathcal{F}_\beta$  is a filter. It is clear that

$$M(t+1; t+s) + M^c(t+1; t+s) = |[t+1, t+s]| = s.$$

Hence it directly follows that  $\beta(M) = 1 \Leftrightarrow \beta(M^c) = 0$ .  $I_\beta \equiv \{M \subset \mathbb{N} : \beta(M) = 0\}$  is a non-trivial ideal [23]. Therefore,  $\mathcal{F}_\beta$  is a filter. It is clear that  $\mathcal{F}_\beta$  satisfies the condition v). Let us show that  $\mathcal{F}_\beta$  is a monotone close filter. Let  $K_1 \supset K_2 \supset \dots \wedge (\beta(K_n) = 1, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}) \Rightarrow \beta(K_n^c) = 0, \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow \exists \{n_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{N}, n_1 < n_2 < \dots :$

$$\frac{\beta^s(K_m^c)}{s} < \frac{1}{m}, \forall s \geq n_m.$$

As before, we set  $\mathbb{N}_0 = \tilde{\mathbb{N}}_0 \cup I_{n_1}$ , where  $\tilde{\mathbb{N}}_0 \equiv \{k \in \mathbb{N} : n_m \leq k \leq n_{m+1} \wedge (k \in K_m^c)\}$ . It is clear that  $\beta(\mathbb{N}_0) = \beta(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}_0)$ . Let  $n > n_1$  be an arbitrary integer. Then  $\exists m \in \mathbb{N} : n_m < n \leq n_{m+1}$ . It is obvious that the inclusion

$$(I_n \cap \tilde{\mathbb{N}}_0) \subset (I_n \cap K_m^c),$$

is true in this case, too. From the arbitrariness of  $n$  we have

$$(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}_0 \cap [t+1; t+s]) \subset (K_m^c \cap [t+1; t+s]).$$

Consequently

$$\tilde{\mathbb{N}}_0(t+1; t+s) \leq K_m^c(t+1; t+s),$$

and as a result

$$\beta^s(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}_0) \leq \beta^s(K_m^c).$$

Thus

$$\frac{\beta^s(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}_0)}{s} \leq \frac{\beta^s(K_m^c)}{s} < \frac{1}{m}, \forall s \geq n_m.$$

From this relation it directly follows

$$\beta(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}_0) = 0 \Rightarrow \beta(\mathbb{N}_0) = 0 \Rightarrow \beta(\mathbb{N}_0^c) = 1 \Rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0^c \in \mathcal{F}_\beta,$$

where

$$\mathbb{N}_0^c \equiv \{k \in \mathbb{N} : n_m < k \leq n_{m+1} \wedge (k \in K_m)\},$$

i.e.  $\mathcal{F}_\beta$  is a monotone close filter. As a result, we obtain the validity of the following

**Statement 3.** *Filter  $\mathcal{F}_\beta$ , generated by the uniform convergence, is a monotone close and right filter.*

Following [23], number of such examples can be extended.

**Remark 1.** *Similar results can be obtained with respect to concepts of  $I$ -convergence and  $I^*$ -convergence.*



## References

- [1] Zygmund A. *Trigonometric series*. Cambridge UK, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition, 1979.
- [2] Fast H. *Sur la convergence statistique*. Colloquium Mathematicum, **2** (1951 )241–244.
- [3] Steinhaus H. *Sur la convergence ordinaire et la convergence asymptotique*. Colloquium Mathematicum, **2** (1951) 73–74.
- [4] Fridy J.A. *On statistical convergence*. Analysis, **5** (1985) 301–313.
- [5] Schoenberg I. J. *The integrability of certain functions and related summability methods*. Amer. Math. Monthly, **66** (1959) 361–375.
- [6] Peterson G.M. *Regular Matrix Transformations*. Mc Graw-Hill, London-New York-Toronto-Sydney, 1966.
- [7] Connor J. S. *Two valued measures and summability*. Analysis, **10** (1990) 373–385.
- [8] Fridy J.A., Khan M.K. *Tauberian theorems via statistical convergence*. J. of Math. Anal. and Appl., **228(1)** (1998) 73–95.
- [9] Maddox I.J. *Statistical convergence in a locally convex space*. Math. Proc. of the Cambridge, Phil. Soc., **104** (1988) 141-145.
- [10] Maio G.D., Kocinac L.D.R. *Statistical convergence in topology*. Topology and its Applications, **156** (2008) 28–45.
- [11] Erdős R., Tenenbaum G. *Sur les densités de certaines suites d'entiers*. Proc. London Math. Soc., **59 (3)** (1989) 417–438.
- [12] Miller H.I. *A measure theoretical subsequence characterization of statistical convergence*. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, **347(5)** (1995) 1811–1819.
- [13] Brown T. C., Freedman A. R. *The uniform density of sets of integers and Fermat's last Theorem*. Compt. Rendus Math. L'Acad. Sci., **12** (1990) 1–6.
- [14] Maharam D. *Finitely additive measures on the integers*. Sankhya Ser. A 38, 44{59 (1976).
- [15] Alotaibi A., Alroqi A.M. *Statistical convergence in a paranormed space*. Journal of Inequalities and Applications, 2012, 2012:39.
- [16] Kuchukaslan M., Deger U., Dovgoshey O. *On statistical convergence of metric valued sequences*. arXiv:1203.2584 [math.FA] 12 Mar, 2012.
- [17] Kuchukaslan M., Deger U. *On statistical boundedness of metric valued sequences*. European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, **5(2)** (2012) 174–186.

- [18] Freedman A.R., Sember J.J. *Densities and summability*. Pacific J. of Math., **95(2)** (1981) 293–305.
- [19] Connor J.S. *The statistical and strong  $p$ -Cesaro convergence of sequences*. Analysis **8** (1988) 47–63.
- [20] Pehlivan S., Mamedov M.A. *Statistical cluster points and turnpike*. Optimization, **48(1)** (2000) 91–106.
- [21] Gadjiev A.D., Orhan C. *Some approximation theorems via statistical convergence*. Rocky Mountain Jour. of Math., **32(1)** (2002) 129–138.
- [22] Gadjiev A.D. *Simultaneous statistical approximation of analytic functions and their derivatives by  $k$ -positive linear operators*. Azerb. J. of Math., **1(1)** (2011) 57–66.
- [23] Kostyrko P., Salat T., Wilczynski W.  *$I$ -convergence*. Real Analysis Exchange, **26(2)** (2000/2001) 669–686.
- [24] Caserta A.D., Di Maio G., Kocinac L.D.R. *Statistical convergence in function spaces*. Abstract and Appl. Anal., **2011**, doi: 10.1155/2011/420419.
- [25] Cakalli H. *Lacunary statistical convergence in topological groups*. Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **26(2)** (1995) 113–119.
- [26] Karakus S., Demirci K., Duman O. *Statistical convergence on intuitionistic fuzzy normed spaces*. Chaos Solitons & Fractals, **35** (2008) 763–769.

Bilal T. Bilalov

*Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics of NAS of Azerbaijan, Az1141, Baku, Azerbaijan*

*E-mail: bilalov.bilal@gmail.com*

Tubu Y. Nazarova

*Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics of NAS of Azerbaijan, Az1141, Baku, Azerbaijan*

*E-mail: tubunazarova@mail.ru*

Received 27 April 2014

Accepted 03 June 2014