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#### Abstract

In the present paper, we study and develop the generalized fractional integral operators given by Saigo $[7,8,9]$. We establish two theorems that give the images of the product of $I$-function and a general class of polynomials in Saigo operators. On account of the general nature of the Saigo operators, $I$-function and a general class of polynomials, a large number of new and known images involving Riemann-Liouville and Erdelyi-Kober fractional integral operators and several special functions follow as special cases of our main findings.
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## 1. Introduction

A useful generalization of the hypergeometric fractional integrals, including the Saigo operators $[7,8,9]$, has been introduced by Marichev [6] [see details in Samko et al.[10] and also see Kilbas and Saigo [see [4], p.258] as follows: Let $\alpha, \beta, \eta$ be complex numbers and $x>0$, than the generalized fractional integral operators \{The Saigo operators [7]\} involving Gaussian hypergeometric function are defined by the following equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(J_{0^{+}}^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} f\right)(x)=\frac{x^{-\alpha-\beta}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{x}(x-t)^{(\alpha-1)}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(\alpha+\beta,-\eta ; \alpha ; 1-\frac{t}{x}\right) f(t) d t ; \quad \operatorname{Re}(\alpha)>0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(J_{-}^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} f\right)(x)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{x}^{\infty}(t-x)^{(\alpha-1)} t^{-\alpha-\beta}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(\alpha+\beta,-\eta ; \alpha ; 1-\frac{x}{t}\right) f(t) d t ; \quad \operatorname{Re}(\alpha)>0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }_{2} F_{1}$ (.) is the Gaussian hypergeometric function defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{2} F_{1}(a, b ; c ; x)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n}(b)_{n}}{(c)_{n}} \frac{x^{n}}{n!} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

* Corresponding author.

When $\beta=-\alpha$, the above equations (1.1) and (1.2) reduce to the following classical Riemann-Liouville fractional integral operator (see Samko et al., [10], p.94, Eqns. (5.1), (5.3)):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(J_{0^{+}}^{\alpha,-\alpha, \eta} f\right)(x)=\left(J_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} f\right)(x)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{x}(x-t)^{(\alpha-1)} f(t) d t ; \quad \operatorname{Re}(\alpha)>0 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(J_{-}^{\alpha,-\alpha, \eta} f\right)(x)=\left(J_{-}^{\alpha} f\right)(x)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{x}^{\infty}(t-x)^{(\alpha-1)} f(t) d t ; \quad \operatorname{Re}(\alpha)>0 \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again, if $\beta=0$, the equations (1) and (2) reduce to the following Erdelyi-Kober fractional integral operator (see Samko et al., [10], p.322, Eqns. (18.5), (18.6)):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(J_{0^{+}}^{\alpha, 0, \eta} f\right)(x)=\left(J_{\eta, \alpha}^{+} f\right)(x)=\frac{x^{-\alpha-\eta}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{x}(x-t)^{(\alpha-1)} t^{\eta} f(t) d t ; \quad \operatorname{Re}(\alpha)>0 \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(J_{-}^{\alpha, 0, \eta} f\right)(x)=\left(K_{\eta, \alpha}^{-} f\right)(x)=\frac{x^{\eta}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{x}^{\infty}(t-x)^{(\alpha-1)} t^{-\alpha-\eta} f(t) d t ; \quad \operatorname{Re}(\alpha)>0 \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The General class of Polynomials $S_{n}^{m}[x]$ occurring in the present paper was introduced by Srivastava [12] and defined as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n}^{m}[x]=\sum_{l=0}^{[n / m]} \frac{\left(-n_{h}\right)_{m l}}{l!} A_{n, l} x^{l} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $\mathrm{n} \& \mathrm{~m}$ are arbitrary positive integers and the coefficients $A_{n, l}(n, l=0)$ are arbitrary constants, real or complex. For the present study, we have used the I-function studied by Saxena [11] and defined as:

$$
I_{P_{i}, Q_{i} ; R}^{M, N}[z]=I_{P_{i}, Q_{i} ; R}^{M, N}\left[\mathrm{z} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
\left(a_{j^{\prime}, \alpha_{j^{\prime}}}\right)_{1, N^{\prime}}\left(a_{j^{\prime} i^{\prime}}, \alpha_{j^{\prime} i^{\prime}}\right)_{N+1, p_{i}}  \tag{1.9}\\
\left(b_{j^{\prime}}, \beta_{j^{\prime}}\right)_{1, M} ;\left(b_{j^{\prime} i^{\prime}}, \beta_{j^{\prime} i^{\prime}}\right)_{M+1, Q_{i}}
\end{array}\right.\right]=\frac{1}{2 \pi \omega} \int_{L} \phi(\xi) z^{\xi} d \xi
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(\xi)=\frac{\prod_{j^{\prime}=1}^{M} \Gamma\left(b_{j^{\prime}}-\beta_{j^{\prime}} \xi\right) \prod_{j^{\prime}=1}^{N} \Gamma\left(1-a_{j^{\prime}}-\alpha_{j^{\prime}} \xi\right)}{\sum_{i^{\prime}=1}^{R}\left[\prod_{j^{\prime}=M+1}^{Q_{i}^{\prime}} \Gamma\left(1-b_{j^{\prime} i^{\prime}}-\beta_{j^{\prime} i^{\prime}} \xi\right) \prod_{j^{\prime}=N+1}^{P_{i^{\prime}}} \Gamma\left(a_{j^{\prime} i^{\prime}}-\alpha_{j^{\prime} i^{\prime}} \xi\right)\right]} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\omega=\sqrt{-1}$. For the conditions on the several parameters of the I-function, one can refer to [11].

## 2. Preliminary Lemmas

The following lemmas will be required to establish our main results.
Lemma 1(Kilbas and Sebastian [5], p. 871, Eq. (15) to (18)). Let $\alpha, \beta, \eta \in C$ be such that $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)>0$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\mu)>\max \{0, \operatorname{Re}(\beta-\eta)\}$ then the following relation holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(J_{0^{+}}^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} t^{\mu-1}\right)(x)=\frac{\Gamma(\mu) \Gamma(\mu+\eta-\beta)}{\Gamma(\mu+\alpha+\eta) \Gamma(\mu-\beta)} x^{\mu-\beta-1} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, if $\beta=-\alpha$ and $\beta=0$ in (2.1), we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(J_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} t^{\mu-1}\right)(x)=\frac{\Gamma(\mu)}{\Gamma(\mu+\alpha)} x^{\mu+\alpha-1}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(\alpha)>0 \text { and } \operatorname{Re}(\mu)>0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(J_{\eta, \alpha}^{+} t^{\mu-1}\right)(x)=\frac{\Gamma(\mu+\eta)}{\Gamma(\mu+\alpha+\eta)} x^{\mu-1}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(\alpha)>0 \text { and } \operatorname{Re}(\mu)>-\operatorname{Re}(\eta) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2 (Kilbas and Sebastian [5], p. 872, Eq. (21) to (24)).
Let $\alpha, \beta, \eta \in C$ be such that $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)>0$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\mu)>\max \{\operatorname{Re}(\beta), \operatorname{Re}(\eta)\}$ then the following relation holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(J_{-}^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} t^{\mu-1}\right)(x)=\frac{\Gamma(\beta-\mu+1) \Gamma(\eta-\mu+1)}{\Gamma(1-\mu) \Gamma(\alpha+\beta+\eta-\mu+1)} x^{\mu-\beta-1} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, if $\beta=-\alpha$ and $\beta=0$ in (2.4), we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(J_{-}^{\alpha} t^{\mu-1}\right)(x)=\frac{\Gamma(1-\alpha-\mu)}{\Gamma(1-\mu)} x^{\mu+\alpha-1}, \quad 1-\operatorname{Re}(\mu)>\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)>0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(K_{\eta, \alpha}^{-} t^{\mu-1}\right)(x)=\frac{\Gamma(\eta-\mu+1)}{\Gamma(1-\mu+\alpha+\eta)} x^{\mu-1}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(\mu)<1+\operatorname{Re}(\eta) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Main Results

Theorem 1

$$
\begin{align*}
& =x^{\mu-\beta-1} \sum_{l_{1}=0}^{\left[n_{1} / m_{1}\right]\left[n_{2} / m_{2}\right]} \sum_{l_{2}=0}^{\left[n_{k} / m_{k}\right]} \cdots \sum_{l_{k}=0}\left\{\frac{\left(-n_{1}\right)_{m_{1} l_{1}} \ldots\left(-n_{h}\right)_{m_{h} l_{h}}}{l_{1}!\ldots l_{h}!} A_{n_{1}, l_{1}}^{\prime} \ldots A_{n_{h}, l_{h}}^{(k)} c_{1}^{l_{1}} \ldots c_{h}^{l_{h}} x^{\Sigma_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_{j} l_{j}}\right\} \\
& \times I_{P_{i}+2, Q_{i}+2 ; R}^{M, N+2}\left[\mathrm{zx}^{\nu} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
\left.\left(1-\mu-\Sigma_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_{j} l_{j}, \nu ; 1\right)_{,\left(1-\mu-\eta+\beta-\Sigma_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_{j} l_{j}, \nu ; 1\right),\left(a_{j^{\prime}}, \alpha_{j^{\prime}}\right)_{1, N} ;\left(a_{j^{\prime} i^{\prime}}, \alpha_{j^{\prime} i^{\prime}}\right)_{N+1, p_{i}}}^{\left(b_{j^{\prime}}, \beta_{j^{\prime}}\right)_{1, M} ;\left(b_{j^{\prime} i^{\prime}}, \beta_{j^{\prime} i^{\prime}}\right)_{M+1, Q_{i}},\left(1-\mu+\beta-\Sigma_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_{j} l_{j}, \nu ; 1\right),\left(1-\mu-\alpha-\eta-\Sigma_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_{j} l_{j}, \nu ; 1\right)}\right]
\end{array}\right.\right] \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

The conditions of validity of (3.1) are as follows:
(i) $\alpha, \beta, \eta, a, b, z \in C$ and $\lambda_{j}, v>0 \forall j \epsilon \overline{1, k}$
(ii) $|\arg z|<\frac{1}{2} \Omega_{i} \pi ; \Omega_{i}>0$ where

$$
\Omega_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_{j}-\sum_{j=N+1}^{P_{i}} \alpha_{j i}+\sum_{j=1}^{M} \beta_{j}-\sum_{j=M+1}^{Q_{i}} \beta_{j i} \quad \forall i \epsilon \overline{1, R}
$$

$(i i i) \operatorname{Re}(\alpha)>0$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\mu)+v \min _{1 \leq j \leq M} \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{b_{j}}{\beta_{j}}\right)>\max \{0, \operatorname{Re}(\beta-\eta)\}$
Proof. In order to prove (3.1), we first express the product of the general class of polynomials occurring on its left-hand side in the series form given by (1.8), and also express the $I$-function in terms of the MellinBarnes contour integral given by (1.9). Next, we interchange the order of summations and the integral and then on taking the fractional integral operator inside (which is permissible under the conditions stated), it takes the following form (say $\triangle$ ) after a little simplification:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta=\sum_{l_{1}=0}^{\left[n_{1} / m_{1}\right]} \sum_{l_{2}=0}^{\left[n_{2} / m_{2}\right]} \cdots \sum_{l_{k}=0}^{\left[n_{k} / m_{k}\right]}\left\{\frac{\left(-n_{1}\right)_{m_{1} l_{1}} \ldots\left(-n_{h}\right)_{m_{h} l_{h}}}{l_{1}!\ldots l_{h}!} A_{n_{1}, l_{1}}^{\prime} \ldots A_{n_{h}, l_{h}}^{(k)} c_{1}^{\left.l_{1} \ldots c_{h}^{l_{h}} x^{\Sigma_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_{j} l_{j}}\right\}}\right. \\
\times \frac{1}{2 \pi \omega} \int_{L} \phi(\xi) z^{\xi}\left(J_{0^{+}}^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} t^{\mu+\Sigma_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_{j} l_{j}+\nu \xi-1}\right)(x) d \xi
\end{gathered}
$$

Finally, applying Lemma 1 and then re-interpreting the Mellin-Barnes contour integral thus obtained in terms of the $I$-function, we arrive at the RHS of (3.1) after a little simplification.

If we put $\beta=-\alpha$ in (3.1)then in view of (2.2), we get the following new and interesting corollary concerning Riemann-Liouville fractional integral operator defined by (1.4):

Corollary 1.1

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[J_{0^{+}}^{\alpha}\left(t^{\mu-1} \Pi_{j=1}^{k} S_{n_{j}}^{m_{j}}\left[c_{j} t^{\lambda_{j}}\right] I_{P_{i}, Q_{i} ; R}^{M, N}\left[\mathrm{zt}^{\nu} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
\left(\begin{array}{l}
\left(a_{j^{\prime}}, \alpha_{j^{\prime}}\right.
\end{array}\right)_{1, N^{\prime}} ;\left(a_{j^{\prime} i^{\prime}, \alpha_{j^{\prime} i^{\prime}}}\right)_{N+1, p_{i}} \\
\left(b_{j^{\prime}}, \beta_{j^{\prime}}\right)_{1, M} ;\left(b_{j^{\prime} i^{\prime},}, \beta_{j^{\prime} i^{\prime}}\right)_{M+1, Q_{i}}
\end{array}\right.\right]\right)\right](x)} \\
& =x^{\mu-\beta-1} \sum_{l_{1}=0}^{\left[n_{1} / m_{1}\right]} \sum_{l_{2}=0}^{\left[n_{2} / m_{2}\right]} \ldots \sum_{l_{k}=0}^{\left[n_{k} / m_{k}\right]}\left\{\frac{\left.\left(-n_{1}\right)_{m_{1} l_{1}} \ldots\left(-n_{h}\right)_{m_{h} l_{h}} A_{n_{1}, l_{1}}^{\prime} \ldots A_{n_{h}, l_{h}}^{(k)} c_{1}^{l_{1}} \ldots c_{h}^{l_{h}} x^{\Sigma_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_{j} l_{j}}\right\} . l_{h}!}{x}\right. \\
& \times I_{P_{i}+1, Q_{i}+1 ; R}^{M, N+1}\left[\mathrm{zX}^{\nu} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
\left(1-\mu-\eta-\Sigma_{j=1^{\lambda}}^{k} j^{l} l_{j}, \nu ; 1\right)\left(a_{j^{\prime}}, \alpha_{j^{\prime}}\right)_{1, N} ;\left(a_{j^{\prime} i^{\prime}, \alpha_{j^{\prime} i^{\prime}}}\right)_{N+1, p_{i}} \\
\left(b_{j^{\prime}}, \beta_{j^{\prime}}\right)_{1, M} ;\left(b_{j^{\prime} i^{\prime}}, \beta_{j^{\prime} i^{\prime}}\right)_{M+1, Q_{i}},\left(1-\mu-\alpha-\Sigma_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_{j} l_{j}, \nu ; 1\right)
\end{array}\right.\right] \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

which holds under the conditions easily obtainable from those mentioned with (3.1). Again, if we put $\beta=0$ in (3.1) then in view of (2.3), we get the following corollary pertaining to Erdelyi-Kober fractional integral operators defined by (1.6).

## Corollary 1.2

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[J_{\eta, \alpha}^{+}\left(t^{\mu-1} \Pi_{j=1}^{k} S_{n_{j}}^{m_{j}}\left[c_{j} t^{\lambda_{j}}\right] I_{P_{i}, Q_{i} ; R}^{M, N}\left[\mathrm{zt}^{\nu} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
\left(a_{j^{\prime}}, \alpha_{j^{\prime}}\right)_{1, N} ;\left(a_{j^{\prime} i^{\prime}, \alpha_{j^{\prime} i^{\prime}}}\right)_{N+1, p_{i}} \\
\left(b_{j^{\prime}}, \beta_{j^{\prime}}\right)_{1, M} ;\left(b_{j^{\prime} i^{\prime}}, \beta_{j^{\prime} i^{\prime}}\right)_{M+1, Q_{i}}
\end{array}\right.\right]\right)\right](x)} \\
& =x^{\mu-1-1} \sum_{l_{1}=0}^{\left[n_{1} / m_{1}\right]} \sum_{l_{2}=0}^{\left[n_{2} / m_{2}\right]} \ldots \sum_{l_{k}=0}^{\left[n_{k} / m_{k}\right]}\left\{\frac{\left.\left(-n_{1}\right)_{m_{1} l_{1}} \ldots\left(-n_{h}\right)_{m_{h} l_{h}} A_{n_{1}, l_{1}}^{\prime} \ldots A_{n_{h}, l_{h}}^{(k)} c_{1}^{l_{1}} \ldots c_{h}^{l_{h}} x^{\Sigma_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_{j} l_{j}}\right\} . l_{h}!}{}\right. \\
& \times I_{P_{i}+1, Q_{i}+1 ; R}^{M, N+1}\left[\mathrm{zx}^{\nu} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
\left(1-\mu-\eta-\Sigma_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_{j} l_{j}, \nu ; 1\right),\left(a_{j^{\prime}}, \alpha_{j^{\prime}}\right)_{1, N} ;\left(a_{j^{\prime} i^{\prime}}, \alpha_{j^{\prime} i^{\prime}}\right)_{N+1, p_{i}} \\
\left(b_{j^{\prime}}, \beta_{j^{\prime}}\right)_{1, M} ;\left(b_{j^{\prime} i^{\prime}}, \beta_{j^{\prime} i^{\prime}}\right)_{M+1, Q_{i}},\left(1-\mu-\alpha-\eta-\Sigma_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_{j} l_{j, \nu ; 1}\right)
\end{array}\right.\right] \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where
$\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)>0$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\mu)+v \min _{1 \leq j \leq M} \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{b_{j}}{\beta_{j}}\right)>-\operatorname{Re}(\eta)$
and the conditions (i) and (ii) mentioned with Theorem 1 are also satisfied.
Theorem 2

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[J_{-}^{\alpha, \beta, \eta}\left(t^{\mu-1} \Pi_{j=1}^{k} S_{n_{j}}^{m_{j}}\left[c_{j} t^{\lambda_{j}}\right] I_{P_{i}, Q_{i} ; R}^{M, N}\left[\mathrm{zt}^{\nu} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
\left(a_{j^{\prime}}, \alpha_{j^{\prime}}\right)_{1, N} ;\left(a_{j^{\prime} i^{\prime}, \alpha_{j^{\prime} i^{\prime}}}\right)_{N+1, p_{i}} \\
\left(b_{j^{\prime}}, \beta_{j^{\prime}}\right)_{1, M} ;\left(b_{j^{\prime} i^{\prime}}, \beta_{j^{\prime} i^{\prime}}\right)_{M+1, Q_{i}}
\end{array}\right.\right]\right)\right]}  \tag{x}\\
& =x^{\mu-\beta-1} \sum_{l_{1}=0}^{\left[n_{1} / m_{1}\right]} \sum_{l_{2}=0}^{\left[n_{2} / m_{2}\right]} \cdots \sum_{l_{k}=0}^{\left[n_{k} / m_{k}\right]}\left\{\frac{\left(-n_{1}\right)_{m_{1} l_{1}} \ldots\left(-n_{h}\right)_{m_{h} l_{h}}}{l_{1}!\ldots l_{h}!} A_{n_{1}, l_{1}}^{\prime} \ldots A_{n_{h}, l_{h}}^{(k)} c_{1}^{l_{1}} \ldots c_{h}^{l_{h}} x^{\Sigma_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_{j} l_{j}}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

The conditions of validity of (3.4) are as follows:
(i) $\alpha, \beta, \eta, a, b, z \in C$ and $\lambda_{j}, v>0 \forall j \in \overline{1, k}$
(ii) $|\arg z|<\frac{1}{2} \Omega_{i} \pi ; \Omega_{i}>0$ where

$$
\Omega_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_{j}-\sum_{j=N+1}^{P_{i}} \alpha_{j i}+\sum_{j=1}^{M} \beta_{j}-\sum_{j=M+1}^{Q_{i}} \beta_{j i} \quad \forall i \epsilon \overline{1, R}
$$

$(i i i) \operatorname{Re}(\alpha)>0$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\mu)-v \min _{1 \leq j \leq M} \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{b_{j}}{\beta_{j}}\right)>\max \{\operatorname{Re}(\beta), \operatorname{Re}(\eta)\}$
Proof. Proceeding on the lines similar to those followed for proving the Theorem 1 and also using the Lemma 2, we easily arrive at (3.4).
If we put $\beta=-\alpha$ in (3.4), then in view of (2.5) we arrive at the following new and interesting corollary concerning Riemann-Liouville fractional integral operator defined by (1.4):

## Corollary 2.1

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[J_{-}^{\alpha}\left(t^{\mu-1} \Pi_{j=1}^{k} S_{n_{j}}^{m_{j}}\left[c_{j} t^{\lambda_{j}}\right] I_{P_{i}, Q_{i} ; R}^{M, N}\left[\mathrm{zt}^{\nu} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
\binom{\left(a_{j^{\prime}}, \alpha_{j^{\prime}}\right.}{b_{1, N^{\prime}}\left(a_{j^{\prime} i^{\prime}}, \alpha_{j^{\prime} i^{\prime}}\right.}_{N+1, p_{i}} \\
\left(b_{j^{\prime}}, \beta_{j^{\prime}}\right)_{1, M} ;\left(b_{j^{\prime} i^{\prime},}, \beta_{j^{\prime} i^{\prime}}\right)_{M+1, Q_{i}}
\end{array}\right.\right]\right)\right](x)} \\
& =x^{\mu+\alpha-1} \sum_{l_{1}=0}^{\left[n_{1} / m_{1}\right]} \sum_{l_{2}=0}^{\left[n_{2} / m_{2}\right]} \cdots \sum_{l_{k}=0}^{\left[n_{k} / m_{k}\right]}\left\{\frac{\left(-n_{1}\right)_{m_{1} l_{1}} \ldots\left(-n_{h}\right)_{m_{h} l_{h}}}{l_{1}!\ldots l_{h}!} A_{n_{1}, l_{1}}^{\prime} \ldots A_{n_{h}, l_{h}}^{(k)} c_{1}^{l_{1}} \ldots c_{h}^{l_{h}} x^{\Sigma_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_{j} l_{j}}\right\} \\
& \times I_{P_{i}+2, Q_{i}+2 ; R}^{M, R+2}\left[\mathrm{zx}^{\nu} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
\left.\left(\mu+\alpha+\Sigma_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_{j} l_{j}, \nu ;\right)^{\prime}\right)\left(a_{j^{\prime}}, \alpha_{j^{\prime}}\right)_{1, N} ;\left(a_{j^{\prime} i^{\prime},}, \alpha_{j^{\prime} i^{\prime}}\right)_{N+1, p_{i}} \\
\left(b_{j^{\prime}}, \beta_{j^{\prime}}\right)_{1, M} ;\left(b_{j^{\prime} i^{\prime}}, \beta_{j^{\prime} i^{\prime}}\right)_{M+1, Q_{i}^{\prime}}\left(\mu+\sum_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_{j} l_{j}, \nu ; 1\right)^{\prime}
\end{array}\right.\right] \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

which holds under the conditions easily obtainable from those mentioned with (3.4). Again, if we put $\beta=0$ in (3.4) then in view of (2.6), we get the following corollary pertaining to Erdelyi-Kober fractional integral operators defined by (1.6).

Corollary 2.2

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[K_{\eta, \alpha}^{-}\left(t^{\mu-1} \Pi_{j=1}^{k} S_{n_{j}}^{m_{j}}\left[c_{j} t^{\lambda_{j}}\right] I_{P_{i}, Q_{i} ; R}^{M, N}\left[\mathrm{zt}^{\nu} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
\left(\begin{array}{l}
\left(a_{j^{\prime}}, \alpha_{j^{\prime}}\right.
\end{array}\right)_{1, N^{j}}\left(a_{j^{\prime} i^{\prime}, \alpha_{j}} \alpha_{j^{\prime} i^{\prime}}\right)_{N+1, p_{i}} \\
\left(b_{j^{\prime}}, \beta_{j^{\prime}}\right)_{1, M^{\prime}} ;\left(b_{j^{\prime} i^{\prime}}, \beta_{j^{\prime} i^{\prime}}\right)_{M+1, Q_{i}}
\end{array}\right.\right]\right)\right](x)} \\
& =x^{\mu-1} \sum_{l_{1}=0}^{\left[n_{1} / m_{1}\right]} \sum_{l_{2}=0}^{\left[n_{2} / m_{2}\right]} \cdots \sum_{l_{k}=0}^{\left[n_{k} / m_{k}\right]}\left\{\frac{\left(-n_{1}\right)_{m_{1} l_{1}} \ldots\left(-n_{h}\right)_{m_{h} l_{h}}}{l_{1}!\ldots l_{h}!} A_{n_{1}, l_{1}}^{\prime} \ldots A_{n_{h}, l_{h}}^{(k)} c_{1}^{l_{1}} \ldots c_{h}^{l_{h}} x^{\Sigma_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_{j} l_{j}}\right\} \\
& \times I_{P_{i}+2, Q_{i}+2 ; R}^{M, N+1}\left[\mathrm{zx}^{\nu} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
\left(\mu-\eta+\Sigma_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_{j} l_{j}^{\prime}, \nu ; 1\right),\left(a_{j^{\prime}}, \alpha_{j^{\prime}}\right)_{1, N} ;\left(a_{j^{\prime} i^{\prime}}, \alpha_{j^{\prime} i^{\prime}}\right)_{N+1, p_{i}} \\
\left(b_{j^{\prime}}, \beta_{j^{\prime}}\right)_{1, M} ;\left(b_{\left.j^{\prime} i^{\prime}, \beta_{j^{\prime} i^{\prime}}\right)_{M+1, Q_{i}},\left(\mu-\alpha-\eta-\sum_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_{j} l_{j}, \nu ; 1\right)}\right.
\end{array}\right.\right] \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

which holds under the conditions easily obtainable from those mentioned with (3.4).

## 4. Special cases

( $i$ If we take $P_{i}=P, Q_{i}=Q$ and $R=1$ in the Theorem 1 , the $I$-Function reduces in Fox's H-Function $[1,13]$ and we get the following result:

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[J_{0+}^{\alpha, \beta, \eta}\left(t^{\mu-1} \Pi_{j=1}^{k} S_{n_{j}}^{m_{j}}\left[c_{j} t^{\lambda_{j}}\right] H_{P, Q}^{M, N}\left[\mathrm{zt}^{\nu} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{c}
\left(a_{j^{\prime}}, \alpha_{j^{\prime}}\right)_{1, P} \\
\left(b_{j^{\prime}}, \beta_{j^{\prime}}\right)_{1, Q}
\end{array}\right.\right]\right)\right](x)} \\
& =x^{\mu-\beta-1} \sum_{l_{1}=0}^{\left[n_{1} / m_{1}\right]} \sum_{l_{2}=0}^{\left[n_{2} / m_{2}\right]} \cdots \sum_{l_{k}=0}^{\left[n_{k} / m_{k}\right]}\left\{\frac{\left(-n_{1}\right)_{m_{1} l_{1}} \ldots\left(-n_{h}\right)_{m_{h} l_{h}}}{l_{1}!\ldots l_{h}!} A_{n_{1}, l_{1}}^{\prime} \ldots A_{n_{h}, l_{h}}^{(k)} c_{1}^{l_{1}} \ldots c_{h}^{l_{h}} x^{\Sigma_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_{j} l_{j}}\right\} \\
& \times H_{P+2, Q+2}^{M, N+2}\left[\mathrm{zx}^{\nu} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
\left(\begin{array}{l}
\left.\left.1-\mu-\Sigma_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_{j} l_{j} \nu_{j}, ;_{i}\right)^{\prime}\right)\left(1-\mu-\eta+\beta-\Sigma_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_{j} l_{j}, \nu ; 1\right),\left(a_{j^{\prime}}, \alpha_{j^{\prime}}\right)_{1, P} \\
\left(b_{j^{\prime}}, \beta_{j^{\prime}}\right. \\
)_{1, Q},\left(1-\mu+\beta-\Sigma_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_{j} l_{j}, \nu ; 1\right),\left(1-\mu-\alpha-\eta-\Sigma_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_{j} l_{j}, \nu ; 1\right)
\end{array}\right]
\end{array}\right.\right] \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

provided that the conditions easily obtainable from those mentioned with Theorem 1 are satisfied.
(ii)Further on setting $M=1=N=P, Q=2, z=-z, a_{1^{\prime}}=1-a, \alpha_{1^{\prime}}=1, b_{1^{\prime}}=$ $0, \beta_{1^{\prime}}=1, b_{2^{\prime}}=1+q-a \nu^{\prime}, \beta_{2^{\prime}}=\nu^{\prime}$ in (4.1) we get the following result in terms of Lorenzo-Hartley G-function:

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[J_{0^{+}}^{\alpha, \beta, \eta}\left(t^{\mu+\nu^{\prime}-q-2} \Pi_{j=1}^{k} S_{n_{j}}^{m_{j}}\left[c_{j} t^{\lambda_{j}}\right] G_{\nu^{\prime}, q, a}[\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{t}]\right)\right](x)} \\
& =x^{\mu-\beta-1} \sum_{l_{1}=0}^{\left[n_{1} / m_{1}\right]} \sum_{l_{2}=0}^{\left[n_{2} / m_{2}\right]} \ldots \sum_{l_{k}=0}^{\left[n_{k} / m_{k}\right]}\left\{\frac{\left(-n_{1}\right)_{m_{1} l_{1}} \ldots\left(-n_{h}\right)_{m_{h} l_{h}}}{l_{1}!\ldots l_{h}!} A_{n_{1}, l_{1}}^{\prime} \ldots A_{n_{h}, l_{h}}^{(k)} c_{1}^{l_{1}} \ldots c_{h}^{l_{h}} x^{\Sigma_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_{j} l_{j}}\right\} \\
& \times H_{3,4}^{1,3}\left[-\mathrm{ZX}^{\nu} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
\left(1-\mu-\Sigma_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_{j} l_{j}, \nu ; 1\right),\left(1-\mu-\eta+\beta-\Sigma_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_{j} l_{j}, \nu ; 1\right),(1-a, 1) \\
(0,1),\left(1+q-a \nu^{\prime}, \nu^{\prime}\right),\left(1-\mu+\beta-\Sigma_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_{j} l_{j}, \nu ; 1\right),\left(1-\mu-\alpha-\eta-\Sigma_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_{j} l_{j}, \nu ; 1\right)
\end{array}\right.\right] \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

provided that the conditions easily obtainable from those mentioned with Theorem 1 are satisfied.
(iii) Next, on setting $a=1$ in (4.2), we get the following result in terms of LorenzoHartley $R$-function:

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[J_{0^{+}}^{\alpha, \beta, \eta}\left(t^{\mu+\nu^{\prime}-q-2} \Pi_{j=1}^{k} S_{n_{j}}^{m_{j}}\left[c_{j} t^{\lambda_{j}}\right] R_{\nu^{\prime}, q}[\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{t}]\right)\right](x)} \\
=x^{\mu-\beta-1} \sum_{l_{1}=0}^{\left[n_{1} / m_{1}\right]} \sum_{l_{2}=0}^{\left[n_{2} / m_{2}\right]} \cdots \sum_{l_{k}=0}^{\left[n_{k} / m_{k}\right]}\left\{\frac{\left.\left(-n_{1}\right)_{m_{1} l_{1} \ldots\left(-n_{h}\right)_{m_{h} l_{h}}}^{l_{1}!\ldots l_{h}!} A_{n_{1}, l_{1} \ldots}^{\prime} \ldots A_{n_{h}, l_{h}}^{(k)} c_{1}^{l_{1}} \ldots c_{h}^{l_{h}} x^{\Sigma_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_{j} l_{j}}\right\}}{} \times H_{3,4}^{1,3}\left[-\mathrm{Zx}^{\nu} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
\left(1-\mu-\Sigma_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_{j} l_{j}, \nu ; 1\right) \\
(0,1),\left(1+q-\nu^{\prime}, \nu^{\prime}\right),\left(1-\mu+\beta-\Sigma_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_{j} l_{j}, \nu ; 1\right),\left(1-\mu-\alpha-\eta-\Sigma_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_{j} l_{j}, \nu ; 1\right)
\end{array}\right.\right]\right.
\end{gather*}
$$

provided that the conditions easily obtainable from those mentioned with Theorem 1 are satisfied.
(iv) On setting $R=1, M=1, N=P_{i}=P, Q_{i}=Q+1, b_{1^{\prime}}=0, \beta_{1^{\prime}}=1, a_{j^{\prime}}=$ $1-a_{j^{\prime}}, b_{j^{\prime} i^{\prime}}=1-b_{j^{\prime}}, \quad \beta_{j^{\prime} i^{\prime}}=\beta_{j^{\prime}}$ in (3.1), we arrive at the following result in terms of Wright's generalized hypergeometric function [3]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad\left[J_{0^{+}}^{\alpha, \beta, \eta}\left(t^{\mu-1} \Pi_{j=1}^{k} S_{n_{j}}^{m_{j}}\left[c_{j} t^{\lambda_{j}}\right]{ }_{p} \psi_{q}\left[-\mathrm{zt}^{\nu} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
\left(a_{j^{\prime}, \alpha_{j^{\prime}}}\right)_{1, P} \\
\left(b_{j^{\prime}}, \beta_{j^{\prime}}\right)_{1, Q}
\end{array}\right.\right]\right)\right](x) \\
& =x^{\mu-\beta-1} \sum_{l_{1}=0}^{\left[n_{1} / m_{1}\right]} \sum_{l_{2}=0}^{\left[n_{2} / m_{2}\right]} \cdots \sum_{l_{k}=0}^{\left[n_{k} / m_{k}\right]}\left\{\frac{\left.\left(-n_{1}\right)_{m_{1} l_{1} \ldots\left(-n_{h}\right)_{m_{h} l_{h}}}^{l_{1}!\ldots l_{h}!} A_{n_{1}, l_{1} \ldots}^{\prime} \ldots A_{n_{h}, l_{h}}^{(k)} c_{1}^{l_{1}} \ldots c_{h}^{l_{h}} x^{\Sigma_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_{j} l_{j}}\right\}}{\times} \begin{array}{l}
1, P+2
\end{array}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

provided that the conditions easily obtainable from those mentioned with Theorem 1 are satisfied.
(v) On suitably specifying the parameters in (4.4), we arrive at the results due to Hussain [2], Kilbas [3] and Kilbas and Sebastian [5].
A number of other special cases of Theorem 2 can also be obtained on following the lines similar to those mentioned above for the Theorem 1 but we do not record them here explicitly.

## 5. Conclusion

In this paper, we have developed the Images of generalized fractional integral operators given by Saigo[7, 8, and 9] in terms of the product of $I$-function and a general class of polynomials in a compact and elegant form. On account of being general and unified in nature, the results established here yield a large number of known and new results involving simpler functions on suitable specifications of the parameters involved.
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