

An Application of Generalized Distribution Series on Certain Classes of Univalent Functions

Saurabh Porwal*, G. Murugusundaramoorthy

Abstract. The purpose of the present paper is to obtain some sufficient conditions for generalized distribution series belonging to the classes $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, $\mathcal{K}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ and inclusion relation of these subclasses by $\mathfrak{R}^\tau(A, B)$. Finally, we obtain some necessary and sufficient conditions of an integral operator associated with the generalized distribution series.

Key Words and Phrases: generalized distribution, Analytic, univalent functions, convex function and starlike functions.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 30C45

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{A} denote the class of functions f of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad (1)$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $\mathbb{U} = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$. As usual, by \mathcal{S} we shall represent the class of all functions in \mathcal{A} which are univalent in \mathbb{U} and further, we denote \mathcal{T} be the subclass of \mathcal{S} consisting of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| z^n. \quad (2)$$

The convolution (or Hadamard product) of two series $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ and $g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ is defined as the power series

$$(f * g)(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n.$$

*Corresponding author.

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be starlike of order α ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$), if and only if

$$\Re \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > \alpha, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

This function class is denoted by $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$. We also write $\mathcal{S}^*(0) =: \mathcal{S}^*$, where \mathcal{S}^* denotes the class of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ that $f(\mathbb{U})$ is starlike with respect to the origin.

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be convex of order α ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$), if and only if

$$\Re \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) > \alpha, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

This class is denoted by $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)$. Further, $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}(0)$, the well-known standard class of convex functions.

It is an established fact that $f \in \mathcal{K}(\alpha) \iff zf' \in \mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$.

We recall the class $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ defined and studied by Kulkarni [10].

Let $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ the subclass of \mathcal{T} consisting of functions which satisfy the condition

$$\left| \frac{f'(z) - 1}{2\gamma(f'(z) - \alpha) - (f'(z) - 1)} \right| < \beta, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \tag{3}$$

where $0 < \beta \leq 1, 0 \leq \alpha < \frac{1}{2\gamma}$ and $\frac{1}{2} \leq \gamma \leq 1$

Recently, some conditions of hypergeometric functions on the class $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ have been studied by Joshi *et al.* [9].

Now, we define a new class $\mathcal{K}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ be the subclass of \mathcal{T} consisting of functions which satisfy the condition

$$\left| \frac{zf''(z) + f'(z) - 1}{2\gamma(zf''(z) + f'(z) - \alpha) - (zf''(z) + f'(z) - 1)} \right| < \beta, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \tag{4}$$

where $0 < \beta \leq 1, 0 \leq \alpha < \frac{1}{2\gamma}$ and $\frac{1}{2} \leq \gamma \leq 1$.

By using (3) and (4) we note that

$$f(z) \in \mathcal{K}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \iff zf'(z) \in \mathcal{S}^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma).$$

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be in the class $f \in \mathfrak{R}^\tau(A, B)$ ($\tau \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, -1 \leq B < A \leq 1$), if it satisfies the inequality

$$\left| \frac{f'(z) - 1}{(A - B)\tau - B[f'(z) - 1]} \right| < 1, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

The class $\mathfrak{R}^\tau(A, B)$ was introduced earlier by Dixit and Pal [7].

The applications of hypergeometric functions ([9], [20]), confluent hypergeometric functions [5], generalized hypergeometric functions [8], Wright function [17], Fox-Wright function [6], generalized Bessel functions ([4], [15]) are interesting topics of research in Geometric Function Theory. In 2014, Porwal [13] (see also [2], [11]) introduced Poisson distribution

series and obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for certain classes of univalent functions and co-relates probability density function with Geometric Function Theory. After the appearance of this paper several researchers introduced hypergeometric distribution series [1], confluent hypergeometric distribution series [16], Binomial distribution series [12], Mittag-Leffler type Poisson distribution series [3] and obtain some interesting properties of various classes of univalent functions. Recently Porwal [14] introduced generalized distribution series and obtain some necessary and sufficient conditions belonging to the certain classes of univalent functions. Now, we recall the definition of generalized distribution. Let the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t_n$, where $t_n \geq 0, \forall n \in N$ is convergent and its sum is denoted by S , i.e.

$$S = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t_n. \quad (5)$$

Now, we introduce the generalized discrete probability distribution whose probability mass function is

$$p(n) = \frac{t_n}{S}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (6)$$

Obviously $p(n)$ is a probability mass function because $p(n) \geq 0$ and $\sum_n p_n = 1$.

Now, we introduce the series

$$\phi(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t_n x^n. \quad (7)$$

From (5) it is easy to see that the series given by (7) is convergent for $|x| < 1$ and for $x = 1$ it is also convergent.

Now, we introduce a power series whose coefficients are probabilities of the generalized distribution

$$K_\phi(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{t_{n-1}}{S} z^n. \quad (8)$$

Further, we define the function

$$TK_\phi(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{t_{n-1}}{S} z^n. \quad (9)$$

Next, we introduce the convolution operator $TK_\phi(f, z)$ for functions f of the form (2) as follows

$$TK_\phi(f, z) = K_\phi(z) * f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| \frac{t_{n-1}}{S} z^n. \quad (10)$$

In the present paper, motivated with the above mentioned work, we obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for generalized distribution series belonging to the classes $\mathcal{K}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ and inclusion relation of these subclasses by $\Re^\tau(A, B)$.

2. Main Results

To establish our main results we shall require the following lemmas.

Lemma 1. ([9]) A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and of the form (1) belongs to the class $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ if

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n[1 + \beta(1 - 2\gamma)]|a_n| \leq 2\beta\gamma(1 - \alpha). \quad (11)$$

Our next lemma is a direct consequences of definition (4).

Lemma 2. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and of the form (1) belongs to the class $\mathcal{K}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ if

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^2[1 + \beta(1 - 2\gamma)]|a_n| \leq 2\beta\gamma(1 - \alpha).$$

Lemma 3. [γ] A function $f \in \mathfrak{R}^\tau(A, B)$ is of form (1), then

$$|a_n| \leq (A - B) \frac{|\tau|}{n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}. \quad (12)$$

The bound given in (12) is sharp.

Theorem 1. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is of the form (1) and the inequality

$$(1 + \beta(1 - 2\gamma)) \left[\phi''(1) + 3\phi'(1) + (\phi(1) - \phi(0)) \right] \leq 2\beta\gamma(1 - \alpha)S \quad (13)$$

is satisfied, then $K_\phi(z)$ is of the form (8) is in the class $\mathcal{K}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$.

Proof. To prove that $K_\phi(z) \in \mathcal{K}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ from Lemma 2 it suffices to prove that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^2[1 + \beta(1 - 2\gamma)] \frac{t_{n-1}}{S} \leq 2\beta\gamma(1 - \alpha).$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^2[1 + \beta(1 - 2\gamma)] \frac{t_{n-1}}{S} \\ &= \frac{1 + \beta(1 - 2\gamma)}{S} \left[\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^2 \right] t_{n-1} \\ &= \frac{1 + \beta(1 - 2\gamma)}{S} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(n-1)(n-2) + 3(n-1) + 1] t_{n-1} \\ &= \frac{1 + \beta(1 - 2\gamma)}{S} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [n(n-1)t_n + 3nt_n + t_n] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1 + \beta(1 - 2\gamma)}{S} \left[\phi''(1) + 3\phi'(1) + (\phi(1) - \phi(0)) \right] \\
&\leq 2\beta\gamma(1 - \alpha).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1. ◀

Theorem 2. *If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is of the form (1) and the inequality*

$$[1 + \beta(1 - 2\gamma)] \left[\phi'(1) + (\phi(1) - \phi(0)) \right] \leq 2\beta\gamma(1 - \alpha)S. \quad (14)$$

is satisfied, then $K_\phi(z)$ is of the form (8) is in the class $\mathcal{S}^(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$.*

Proof. To prove that $K_\phi(z) \in \mathcal{S}^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ from Lemma 1 it suffices to prove that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n[1 + \beta(1 - 2\gamma)] \frac{t_{n-1}}{S} \leq 2\beta\gamma(1 - \alpha).$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n[1 + \beta(1 - 2\gamma)] \frac{t_{n-1}}{S} \\
&= \frac{[1 + \beta(1 - 2\gamma)]}{S} \left[\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-1) + 1 \right] t_{n-1} \\
&= \frac{[1 + \beta(1 - 2\gamma)]}{S} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [nt_n + t_n] \\
&= \frac{[1 + \beta(1 - 2\gamma)]}{S} \left[\phi'(1) + (\phi(1) - \phi(0)) \right] \\
&\leq 2\beta\gamma(1 - \alpha).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the proof of Theorem 2 is established. ◀

Remark 1. *The conditions (13) and (14) are also necessary for the distribution series $TK_\phi(z)$ defined by (9).*

Theorem 3. *If $f \in R^r(A, B)$ is of the form (2) and the operator $TK_\phi(f, z)$ defined by (10) is in the class $\mathcal{K}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, if and only if*

$$\frac{(A - B)[1 + \beta(1 - 2\gamma)]|\tau|}{S} \left[\phi'(1) + (\phi(1) - \phi(0)) \right] \leq 2\beta\gamma(1 - \alpha). \quad (15)$$

Proof. To prove $TK_\phi(f, z) \in \mathcal{K}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, from Lemma 2, it suffices to prove that

$$P_1 = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^2[1 + \beta(1 - 2\gamma)]|a_n| \leq 2\beta\gamma(1 - \alpha).$$

Since $f \in R^\tau(A, B)$ then by using Lemma 3 we have

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{(A - B)|\tau|}{n}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} P_1 &\leq \frac{(A - B)|\tau|}{S} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n[1 + \beta(1 - 2\gamma)]t_{n-1} \\ &= \frac{(A - B)[1 + \beta(1 - 2\gamma)]|\tau|}{S} \left[\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n - 1) + 1 \right] t_{n-1} \\ &= \frac{(A - B)[1 + \beta(1 - 2\gamma)]|\tau|}{S} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [nt_n + t_n] \\ &= \frac{(A - B)[1 + \beta(1 - 2\gamma)]|\tau|}{S} [\phi'(1) + (\phi(1) - \phi(0))] \\ &\leq 2\beta\gamma(1 - \alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the proof of Theorem 3 is established. ◀

Theorem 4. *If $f \in R^\tau(A, B)$ is of the form (2) and the operator $TK_\phi(f, z)$ defined by (10) is in the class $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, if and only if*

$$\frac{(A - B)[1 + \beta(1 - 2\gamma)]|\tau|}{S} [\phi(1) - \phi(0)] \leq 2\beta\gamma(1 - \alpha). \quad (16)$$

Proof. The proof of above theorem is similar to that of Theorem 3. Therefore we omit the details involved. ◀

3. An Integral Operator

In this section, we introduce an integral operator $TG_\phi(z)$ as follows

$$TG_\phi(z) = \int_0^z \frac{TK_{\phi(t)}}{t} dt, \quad (17)$$

and obtain a necessary and sufficient condition for $TG_\phi(z)$ belonging to the classes $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ and $\mathcal{K}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$.

Theorem 5. *If $TK_\phi(z)$ defined by (10), then $TG_\phi(z)$ defined by (17) is in the class $\mathcal{K}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, if and only if (14) satisfies.*

Proof. Since

$$TG_\phi(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{t_{n-1}}{nS} z^n$$

by Lemma 2, we have to prove that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^2 [1 + \beta(1 - 2\gamma)] \frac{t_{n-1}}{nS} \leq 2\beta\gamma(1 - \alpha).$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^2 [1 + \beta(1 - 2\gamma)] \frac{t_{n-1}}{nS} \\ &= \frac{1}{S} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n [1 + \beta(1 - 2\gamma)] t_{n-1} \\ &= \frac{[1 + \beta(1 - 2\gamma)]}{S} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [(n + 1) - 1] t_n \\ &= \frac{[1 + \beta(1 - 2\gamma)]}{S} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [n + 1] t_n \\ &= \frac{[1 + \beta(1 - 2\gamma)]}{S} [\phi'(1) + [\phi(1) - \phi(0)]] \\ &\leq 2\beta\gamma(1 - \alpha). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 5. ◀

Theorem 6. If $TK_{\phi}(z)$ defined by (10), then $TG_{\phi}(z)$ defined by (17) is in the class $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, if and only if

$$\frac{[1 + \beta(1 - 2\gamma)]}{S} [\phi(1) - \phi(0)] \leq 2\beta\gamma(1 - \alpha).$$

satisfies.

Proof. The proof of above theorem is much akin to that of Theorem 5. Therefore we omit the details involved. ◀

References

- [1] M.S. Ahmad, Q. Mehmood, W. Nazeer, A.U. Haq, *An application of a Hypergeometric distribution series on certain analytic functions*, Sci. Int.(Lahore), **27**(4), 2015, 2989-2992.
- [2] S. Altinkaya, S. Yalçın, *Poisson distribution series for analytic univalent functions*, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory, **12**(5), 2018, 1315–1319.

- [3] Divya Bajpai, *A study of univalent functions associated with distortion series and q -calculus*, M.Phil. Dissertation, CSJM Univerity, Kanpur, India, 2016.
- [4] A. Baricz, *Generalized Bessel functions of the first kind*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1994, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2010.
- [5] N. Bohra, V. Ravichandran, *On confluent hypergeometric functions and generalized Bessel functions*, Anal. Math., **43**(4), 2017, 533–545.
- [6] V.B.L. Chaurasia, H.S. Parihar, *Certain sufficiency conditions on Fox-Wright functions*, Demonstratio Math., **41**(4), 2008, 813–822.
- [7] K.K. Dixit, S.K. Pal, *On a class of univalent functions related to complex order*, Indian J. Pure. Appl. Math., **26**(9), 1995, 889–896.
- [8] A. Gangadharan, T.N. Shanmugam, H.M. Srivastava, *Generalized hypergeometric functions associated with k -Uniformly convex functions*, Comput. Math. Appl., **44**, 2002, 1515-1526.
- [9] S.B. Joshi, H.H. Pawar, T. Bulboaca, *A subclass of analytic functions associated with hypergeometric functions*, Sahand Comm. Math. Anal., **14**(1), 2019, 199-210.
- [10] S.R. Kulkarni, *Some problems connected with univalent functions*, Ph.D. Thesis, Shivaji University, Kolhapur, India, 1981.
- [11] G. Murugusundaramoorthy, K. Vijaya, S. Porwal, *Some inclusion results of certain subclasses of analytic functions associated with Poisson distribution series*, Hacet. J. Math. Stat., **45**(4), 2016, 1101–1107.
- [12] W. Nazeer, Q. Mehmood, S.M. Kang, A.U. Haq, *An application of a Binomial distribution series on certain analytic functions*, J. Comput. Anal. Appl., **26**(1), 2019, 11-17.
- [13] Saurabh Porwal, *An application of a Poisson distribution series on certain analytic functions*, J. Complex Anal., **2014**, 2014, Art. ID 984135, 1-3.
- [14] Saurabh Porwal, *Generalized distribution and its geometric properties associated with univalent functions*, J. Complex Anal., **2018**, Art. ID 8654506, 5 pp.
- [15] Saurabh Porwal, Moin Ahmad, *Some sufficient condition for generalized Bessel functions associated with conic regions*, Vietnam J. Math., **43**, 2015, 163-172.
- [16] Saurabh Porwal, Shivam Kumar, *Confluent hypergeometric distribution and its applications on certain classes of univalent functions*, Afr. Mat., **28**, 2017, 1-8.
- [17] R.K. Raina, *On univalent and starlike Wright's hypergeometric functions*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova **95**, 1996, 11–22.

- [18] M.S. Robertson, *On the theory of univalent functions*, Ann. Math., **37**, 1936, 374-408.
- [19] H. Silverman, *Univalent functions with negative coefficients*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **51**, 1975, 109-116.
- [20] A. Swaminathan, *Certain sufficiency conditions on Gaussian hypergeometric functions*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math., **5**(4), 2004, Article 83, 10 pp.

Saurabh Porwal

Department of Mathematics, Ram Sahai Government Degree College, Bairi Shivrajpur-Kanpur-209205, (U.P.), India

E-mail: saurabhjcb@rediffmail.com

G. Murugusundaramoorthy

School of Advanced Sciences, Vellore Institute of Technology, Vellore 632014, Tamilnadu, India

E-mail: gmsmoorthy@yahoo.com

Received 03 July 2020

Accepted 23 December 2020